UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,754	01/10/2005	Anne Marie Jeanne Bouillot	PF4879USW	4849
23347 7590 04/11/2008 GLAXOSMITHKLINE CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475 FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			EXAMINER	
			MABRY, JOHN	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/520,754	BOUILLOT ET AL.			
Lxammer-imuated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Mabry, PhD	1625			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>John Mabry, PhD</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Lorie Ann Morgan, Esq</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview:	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant	nt's representative)				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Attny Lorie Ann Morgan discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Non-Final Rejection mailed on September 4, 2007. Attny Morgan told Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/520,754, filed on January 10, 2005.